EMBEDDING SPACES WITH UNCONDITIONAL BASES

BΥ

WILLIAM J. DAVIS

ABSTRACT

Every super-reflexive space with an unconditional basis is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a super-reflexive space with a symmetric basis.

Lindenstrauss [4] proved that if X has an unconditional basis, then X is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a space Y with symmetric basis. Szankowski [5] extended the result to show that if X is reflexive, Y may be chosen to be reflexive. The purpose of this note is to give a proof of these results which also yields the further extension: if X is uniformly convex (super-reflexive), then Y may be chosen to be uniformly convex (superreflexive). The technique is based on the construction in [1].

Let Φ denote the finitely supported (scalar) sequences, and let $B_{3/2}$ (resp. B_2) denote the unit ball in Φ with the $l_{3/2}$ (resp. l_2) norm. Consider the set $mB_{3/2} + (1/m)B_2$ in Φ and let $|\cdot|_m$ denote its Minkowski functional. Let W_m be the completion of $\langle \Phi, |\cdot|_m \rangle$. If X has monotonely normalized unconditional basis (x_n) , for a sequence m_k , with $m_k \ge 2^{-k}$, let

$$Z = (\Sigma W_{m_k})_X = \{(z_k) \mid z_k \in W_{m_k}, \ \|(z_k)\| = \|\Sigma| \ z_k \mid_{m_k} x_k \|_X < \infty \}$$

and

 $Y = \operatorname{diag} Z = \{(z_k) | z_k = z \quad \text{for all } k\}.$

Since $m_k \ge 2^{-k}$, it is easy to see that $Y \supset \{(x, x, x, \cdots) | x \in l_{3/2}\}$. The sequence (x, x, x, \cdots) will be denote by \tilde{x} .

Lemma 1 is a consequence of lemma 1 of [1].

LEMMA 1. The sequence $(\tilde{\delta}_k)$, where $\delta_k = (\delta_{kl})_{l=1}^{\infty}$, is a monotonely symmetric basis for Y.

^t Supported in part by NSF Grant GP43370

Received August 5, 1974

LEMMA 2. If X is reflexive (uniformly convex), then Z (and therefore Y) is reflexive (uniformly convex).

PROOF. The "reflexive" part of this lemma is immediate. Day proved that if (W_n) is a uniformly uniformly convex sequence of Banach spaces, then $(\Sigma W_n)_X$ is uniformly convex whenever X is uniformly convex with monotonely unconditional basis. It is easy to see that the W_n 's are uniformly uniformly convex. A proof appears in [2].

The next lemma also appears in [2].

LEMMA 3. If X is super-reflexive with unconditional basis (x_n) , then X can be equivalently renormed to be uniformly convex so that (x_n) is monotonely unconditional.

We now choose a sequence (m_i) and a sequence (k_i) so that, if

$$K_{l} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} k_{j}$$
 and $u_{l} = \left(2 \sum_{i=K_{l-1}+1}^{K_{l}} \delta_{i}\right) / k_{l}^{7/12}$

then $\tilde{u}_i = (u_i, u_i, \cdots)$ is a sequence in Y equivalent to the basis (x_i) for X. Once this is accomplished, the main result is proved, since $[\tilde{u}_i]$ is norm one complemented in Y by conditional expectation [3].

We first compute the norm in W_m of $u = \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i$:

$$|u|_{m} = \inf \{\lambda | u = u' + u'', m ||u''||_{l_{2}}, \frac{1}{m} ||u'||_{l_{3/2}} \leq \lambda \}.$$

Let u = u' + u'' be any decomposition of u, and notice first that $||\Pi_k u'||_{l_2} \leq ||u'||_{l_2}$, etc., so that we may as well assume $u' = \sum_{i}^k \beta_i \delta_i$ (here Π_k denotes the natural projection of Φ onto $[\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k]$). Further, if P_k is the collection of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and if, for $\rho \in P_k$, $S_\rho(u') = \sum \beta_i \delta_{\rho(i)}$, then by symmetry of (d_i) , we also have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\rho\in P_k}S_{\rho}(u')\right\| \leq \|u'\|$$

for each of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{l_2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{3/2}$. Thus, we may assume that $u' = \alpha u$, $u'' = \beta u$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Since $\|u\|_{l_{3/2}} = k^{2/3}$ and $\|u\|_{l_2} = k^{1/2}$, we are now able to conclude that $\|u\|_m = mk^{2/3}/(m^2 + k^{1/6})$ which, for fixed k, is maximized at $m = k^{1/12}$. There we have $\|u\|_{k^{1/12}} = \frac{1}{2}k^{7/12}$ (explaining the normalization of u_l above). For any m, $\|u_l\|_m \leq mk_1^{-1/12}$, so that, if $m_1^{12} = k_l$ for all l,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} |u_l|_{m_j} \leq \frac{1}{m_l} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} m_j.$$

Also, since $|u_i|_m \leq k_i^{1/12}/m$, we see that

Vol. 20, 1975

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_l|_{m_{l+j}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (m_l/m_{l+j}).$$

Thus, if (m_i) is a sequence satisfying

$$\frac{1}{m_{l}}\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}m_{j}+m_{l}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}m_{l+j}^{-1}<2^{-l-1},$$

if $k_i = m_i^{12}$ and if $z_i = (\delta_{ii}u_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in Z, then $||z_i - \bar{u}_i||_z < 2^{-i-1}$ so (z_i) and (\bar{u}_i) are equivalent basis sequences. It is easy to see that (z_i) is equivalent to the basis (x_n) . This completes the proof.

References

1. W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. PeXczyński, Factoring weakly compact operators, J. Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 311-327.

2. T. Figiel and W. B. Johnson, A uniformly convex Banach space which contains no l_p, Compositio Math. 29 (1974), 179-190.

3. J. Lindenstrauss and M. Zippin, Banach spaces with a unique unconditional basis, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), 115-125.

4. J. Lindenstrauss, A remark on symmetric bases, Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 317-320.

5. A. Szankowski, Embedding Banach spaces with unconditional bases into spaces with symmetric bases, Israel J. Math. 15 (1973), 53-59.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210 U.S.A.